

1                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

3                   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4                   Plaintiff

5                   v.

CRIMINAL 04-0076 (JAG)

6                   [2] MIGUEL BARRAL-COLÓN,

7                   Defendant

9

10                  MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
11                  RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

12                  I. Personal Background

13                  On February 18, 2004, Miguel Barral-Colón, the defendant herein, was  
14 charged in counts one, two, four and six of a six-count indictment. The defendant  
15 agrees to plea guilty to count one of the indictment.

16                  Count one charges that the defendant and others did knowingly, willfully,  
17 intentionally, and unlawfully, combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with each  
18 other and others, known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit the following  
19 offense against the United States, that is, to possess with the intent to distribute one  
20 kilogram or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of  
21 heroin, a Schedule I Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; and/or five kilograms or  
22 more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a  
23 Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§  
24 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A)(i) & (ii). All in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846.

25                  II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

26                  Yesterday, while assisted by Octavio M. Rivera-Bujosa, Esq., the defendant, by  
27 consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea  
28 of guilty as to count one of the indictment.

1 CRIMINAL 04-0076 (JAG)

2

3       In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing  
4 being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead  
5 guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the  
6 change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given  
7 notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries  
8 were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would  
9 be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could  
10 lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings  
11 presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was  
12 also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two.  
13 The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

14       III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

15           A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

16       Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the  
17 acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to  
18 Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the  
19 defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary:  
20 "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty  
21 does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and  
22 consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4  
(1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467  
(1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1)  
23 absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge  
24 of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47  
F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1<sup>st</sup>  
Cir. 1991)).

25       United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1999).

26       In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he  
27 understood that if convicted on count one, he was exposed to a term of  
imprisonment of not less than 10 years and not more than life, a fine of not more  
than \$4,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least five years in addition  
28 to any term of incarceration, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and §

1 CRIMINAL 04-0076 (JAG)

3

2  
3 841(b)(1)(A)(i) & (ii), and § 846. The court must impose a mandatory penalty  
4 assessment of \$100, per offense, to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant  
5 18 U.S.C. 3013.

6 Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the  
7 court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term  
8 and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea  
9 if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

10 Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was  
11 emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist  
12 the court in reaching a fair sentence.

13 Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises  
14 as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to  
15 questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what  
16 sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

17       B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

18 To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant  
19 was advised of his right:

20       1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the  
21 government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

22       2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by  
23 the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.

24       3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be  
25 entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his  
26 behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

27       4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which  
28 would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means  
of competent evidence.

1 CRIMINAL 04-0076 (JAG)

4

5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of  
3 witnesses.  
4

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his  
5 speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully  
6 understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional  
7 rights.  
8

9 C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

10 Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised  
11 of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by  
12 pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be  
13 giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he is  
14 guilty.

15 Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty  
16 he would not be allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might  
17 disagree with the sentence imposed, and that when he were under supervised  
18 release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that privilege could be  
19 revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He  
20 was also explained that parole has been abolished.

21 D. Plea Agreement

22 The parties have entered into a written plea and that, upon being signed by the  
23 government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record.  
24 Defendant was clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

- 25 1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.
- 26 2. The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense  
27 attorney and the attorney for the government which is presented as a  
recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments  
and guidelines, which are advisory.

1 CRIMINAL 04-0076 (JAG)

5

3       3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated  
4 sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the  
5 sentencing court.

6       4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation  
7 contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea  
8 agreement and impose any sentence up to the possible maximum penalty prescribed  
9 by statute.

10      Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

11      E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

12      The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant  
13 concurred.

14      Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to  
15 establish all elements of the offense charged.

16      F. Voluntariness

17      The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had  
18 been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead  
19 guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened  
20 attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had  
21 proceeded to trial.

22      IV. Conclusion

23      The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11,  
24 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one  
25 of the indictment.

26      After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court,  
27 concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the

1 CRIMINAL 04-0076 (JAG)

6

2 preceding sections, I find that the defendant Miguel Barral-Colón is competent to  
3 enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the  
4 maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is  
5 supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the  
6 offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full  
7 knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

8  
9 Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant  
10 and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one of the indictment. At  
11 sentencing, the government will request the dismissal of counts two, four and six  
12 pending against defendant.

13 This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)  
14 and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be  
15 specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt.  
16 Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific  
17 objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the  
18 district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986).

19 At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

20  
21 S/ JUSTO ARENAS  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28